```
<HTML><FONT BACK="#fffffff" style="BACKGROUND-COLOR: #fffffff" SIZE=2</pre>
PTSIZE=10>Subj: <B> Re: Fwd: And the Daniel Goes To...</FONT><FONT
COLOR="#000000" BACK="#ffffff" style="BACKGROUND-COLOR: #fffffff" SIZE=3
PTSIZE=10 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0"></B><BR>
Date: 3/16/2002 7:07:52 PM Pacific Standard Time<BR>
From: park@aps.org (Robert Park) < BR>
     whatsnew@aps.org, EllsbergD@cs.com<BR>
</FONT><FONT COLOR="#000000" BACK="#ffffff" style="BACKGROUND-COLOR: #fffffff"</pre>
SIZE=2 PTSIZE=10 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0"><BR>
</FONT><FONT COLOR="#000000" BACK="#ffffff" style="BACKGROUND-COLOR: #fffffff"</pre>
SIZE=3 PTSIZE=10 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">Dear Dan, your message
means a great deal to me. It had not occured to me that you might be among
                           As you can imagine, I'm catching a certain amount of
those reading my remarks.
heat for my March 11 column. <BR>
<BR>
I have expressed similar thoughts in the past. In my book, Voodoo Science, I
wrote: <BR>
<BR>
"In the interests of security, people in every society grant their government a
license to keep secrets; in times of perceived national danger, the license is
broadened. It is a necessary but dangerous bargain. Behind the curtain of
official secrecy, waste, corruption, and foolishness can be concealed, and
information can be selectively leaked for political advantage. In the case of
the Strategic Defense Initiative, erroneous scientific information and flawed
technical concepts, protected from normal scientific scrutiny, were used to
promote costly defense programs that in the end only left the United States more
vulnerable. Secrecy had, as it so often does provided a haven for voodoo
science." <BR>
To know what should be leaked is a measure of wisdom. To actually leak it, is a
measure of courage. You scored very high on both counts. <BR>
With warm respect, <BR>
<BR>
Bob Park<BR>
<BR>
Robert L. Park<BR>
Professor of Physics<BR>
University of Maryland<BR>
(202) 662-8700<BR>
<BR>
bob@aps.org <BR>
<BR>
   <BR>
<BR>
>>> <EllsbergD@cs.com> 03/16/02 06:51PM >>><BR>
In a message dated 3/16/2002 10:23:29 AM Pacific Standard Time, <BR>
fvhippel@Princeton.EDU writes:<BR>
<BR>
<< http://www.globalsecurity.org/wmd/library/policy/dod/npr.htm. >><BR>
Dear Mr. Parks: My attached reaction, to Bill Lanouette for sending me your
item in "What's New," speaks for itself. There's no better way I would wish
<BR>
```

to be remembered; I have been thinking warmly of whoever it was who delivered

this piece of information to the public for the last couple of weeks, each
 time I see a response to it. Thanks and congratulations to you for making
 the simple statement—that, I repeat, is seen rarely—that the person who
 chose to do this did the right thing, and deserves (even more than praise)
 emulation. It's crucial at this time—as we approach an Official Secrets
 Act—that the shibboleth be challenged that: "Everyone agrees that there are

too many unauthorized disclosures" (John Podesta two years ago), and that
 unauthorized disclosures are extraordinarily dangerous to our security (name

one), etc. To quote myself, about the time that Congress irresponsibly
 PASSED an OSA for the first time, "Unauthorized disclosures are the lifeblood

of a republic."

I'm in the last editing stages on a memoir to come out in September. I
 would like the title, "Unauthorized Disclosures"--to fling it in the face of

whatever proposed legislation is likely to be coming at us then-but my
 editor says it sounds too much like a spy novel. Well, hell: how about an
 alleged-violation-of-Espionage-Act novel (or memoir). If Rumsfeld really
 thinks this leak of the NPR was "a clear violation of criminal statutes" as
 he said (referring to the sections for which I was prosecuted) then they
 don't need a new Official Secrets Act, do they?

I would appreciate seeing the earlier item you referred to. Again,
 thanks,

Dan Ellsberg

<font color="#0f0f0f" BACK="#fffffe" style="BACKGROUND-COLOR: #fffffe"
SIZE=2 PTSIZE=10 FAMILY="SANSSERIF" FACE="Arial" LANG="0">

Return-Path: <park@aps.org>

Received: from rly-xa03.mx.aol.com (rly-xa03.mail.aol.com [172.20.105.72]) by air-xa05.mail.aol.com (v83.45) with ESMTP id MAILINXA52-0316220752; Sat, 16 Mar 2002 22:07:52 -0500

Received: from ACPGate.acp.org (acpgate.acp.org [149.28.226.101]) by rly-xa03.mx.aol.com (v83.45) with ESMTP id MAILRELAYINXA34-0316220739; Sat, 16 Mar 2002 22:07:39 -0500

Received: from ACP-Message_Server by ACPGate.acp.org

with Novell GroupWise; Sat, 16 Mar 2002 22:08:24 -0500 BR>

Message-Id: <sc93c258.093@ACPGate.acp.org>

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 5.5.5

Date: Sat, 16 Mar 2002 22:06:11 -0500

From: "Robert Park" <park@aps.org>

To: <whatsnew@aps.org>, <EllsbergD@cs.com>
Subject: Re: Fwd: And the Daniel Goes To...

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Content-Disposition: inline

X-Guinevere: 1.0.14 ; American Institute o

</HTML>

I love it! Thank you for sending me this! Where did it appear? What's "What's New"? And what about the Jan 11 cite it mentions? Is it true that the NPR actually appeared in toto on web sites? That should happen, but I'm not holding my breath for the New York Times to publish the whole report, as it should.

The fact is that I regarded this as one of the most important leaks in a generation, and I'm honored to be associated with whoever-it-was. I love the last comment—which is, regrettably, a very unusual observation—which needs saying over and over to counter the momentum of the Ashcroft drive for an Official Secrets Act (and Rumsfeld).

I've long said that in order to legitimize Unauthorized Disclosures—we need many more of them, not less—there should be a Pulitzer Prize for the most significant Leak of the year. No money, and if the source doesn't want to be disclosed, the newsperson serving as the channel can nominate, and receive the award in their name. (So as not to be self-serving, I propose this not be retroactive. But I wouldn't mind if the award consisted of an idealized nude statuette to be known popularly as the Daniel. And ideally it would be awarded where the Academy Awards used to be given, in the Santa Monica Civic Auditorium; which is across Main Street from the Rand Corporation).

Subj: Re: Fwd: And the Daniel Goes To...

Date: 3/16/2002 7:07:52 PM Pacific Standard Time

From: park@aps.org (Robert Park)

To: whatsnew@aps.org, EllsbergD@cs.com

Dear Dan, your message means a great deal to me. It had not occured to me that you might be among those reading my remarks. As you can imagine, I'm catching a certain amount of heat for my March 11 column.

I have expressed similar thoughts in the past. In my book, Voodoo Science, I wrote:

"In the interests of security, people in every society grant their government a license to keep secrets; in times of perceived national danger, the license is broadened. It is a necessary but dangerous bargain. Behind the curtain of official secrecy, waste, corruption, and foolishness can be concealed, and information can be selectively leaked for political advantage. In the case of the Strategic Defense Initiative, erroneous scientific information and flawed technical concepts, protected from normal scientific scrutiny, were used to promote costly defense programs that in the end only left the United States more vulnerable. Secrecy had, as it so often does provided a haven for voodoo science."

To know what should be leaked is a measure of wisdom. To actually leak it, is a measure of courage. You scored very high on both counts.

With warm respect.

Bob Park

Robert L. Park Professor of Physics University of Maryland (202) 662-8700

bob@aps.org

>>> <EllsbergD@cs.com> 03/16/02 06:51PM >>> In a message dated 3/16/2002 10:23:29 AM Pacific Standard Time, Mhippel@Princeton.EDU writes:

<< http://www.globalsecurity.org/wmd/library/policy/dod/npr.htm. >>

Dear Mr. Parks: My attached reaction, to Bill Lanouette for sending me your item in "What's New," speaks for itself. There's no better way I would wish to be remembered; I have been thinking warmly of whoever it was who delivered this piece of information to the public for the last couple of weeks, each time I see a response to it. Thanks and congratulations to you for making the simple statement—that, I repeat, is seen rarely—that the person who chose to do this did the right thing, and deserves (even more than praise) emulation. It's crucial at this time—as we approach an Official Secrets Act—that the shibboleth be challenged that: "Everyone agrees that there are too many unauthorized disclosures" (John Podesta two years ago), and that unauthorized disclosures are extraordinarily dangerous to our security (name one), etc. To quote myself, about the time that Congress irresponsibly PASSED an OSA for the first time, "Unauthorized disclosures are the lifeblood of a republic."

I'm in the last editing stages on a memoir to come out in September. I would like the title, "Unauthorized Disclosures"—to fling it in the face of whatever proposed legislation is likely to be coming at us then—but my

editor says it sounds too much like a spy novel. Well, hell: how about an alleged-violation-of-Espionage-Act novel (or memoir). If Rumsfeld really thinks this leak of the NPR was "a clear violation of criminal statutes" as he said (referring to the sections for which I was prosecuted) then they don't need a new Official Secrets Act, do they?

I would appreciate seeing the earlier item you referred to. Again, thanks,

Dan Ellsberg

----- Headers -----

Return-Path: <park@aps.org>

Received: from rly-xa03.mx.aol.com (rly-xa03.mail.aol.com [172.20.105.72]) by air-xa05.mail.aol.com (v83.45) with ESMTP id

MAILINXA52-0316220752; Sat, 16 Mar 2002 22:07:52 -0500

Received: from ACPGate.acp.org (acpgate.acp.org [149.28.226.101]) by rly-xa03.mx.aol.com (v83.45) with ESMTP id

MAILRELAYINXA34-0316220739; Sat, 16 Mar 2002 22:07:39 -0500

Received: from ACP-Message_Server by ACPGate.acp.org with Novell GroupWise; Sat, 16 Mar 2002 22:08:24 -0500

Message-ld: <sc93c258.093@ACPGate.acp.org>

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 5.5.5

Date: Sat, 16 Mar 2002 22:06:11 -0500

From: "Robert Park" <park@aps.org>

To: <whatsnew@aps.org>, <EllsbergD@cs.com> Subject: Re: Fwd: And the Daniel Goes To...

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Content-Disposition: inline

X-Guinevere: 1.0.14; American Institute o